



Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
+1 212 909 6000

December 5, 2022

The Hon. Valerie Caproni
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
40 Foley Square, Room 240
New York, New York 10007

***Nike, Inc. v. StockX LLC, No. 22 CV 00983 (S.D.N.Y.) –
Joint Letter on Pending Discovery Disputes***

Dear Judge Caproni:

Pursuant to the Court’s December 5, 2022, oral Order, Plaintiff Nike, Inc. (“Nike”) and Defendant StockX LLC (“StockX” and together with Nike, the “Parties”) respectfully submit this joint letter to provide an overview of the pending discovery disputes ripe for raising with the Court pursuant to Individual Rule 3(B). The Parties are mutually available for a telephonic conference next week on Monday, December 12, 2022, between 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM EST, or after 3:00 PM EST and for an in-person conference on Tuesday, December 13, 2022, between 10:00 AM – 4:00 PM EST.

The Parties’ disputes concern the following topics:

- Nike’s objections and responses to twenty-seven RFPs (RFP Nos. 54-56, 84, 85, 87, 88, 90, 93-95, 98-100, and 103-115), and to twenty-five 30(b)(6) topics (Topic Nos. 2-6, 15-18, 20-21, 24-29, 31, 33, 35-38), concerning subject matter such as Nike’s digital sneakers offerings and the channels of trade through which and prices at which Nike’s digital sneakers are sold; the technical specifications for the shoes the Alleged Counterfeits purport to be; the pervasiveness of counterfeits in the market; Nike’s revenues and profits from the sale of non-fungible tokens and digital sneakers; Nike’s interest in investing in and/or acquiring StockX; and Nike’s reputation.
- The completeness of Nike’s document production in response to ten RFPs (RFP Nos. 6, 38, 40, 52, 64-66, 74-75), concerning subject matter such as Nike’s digital goods offerings, consumer complaints regarding NFTs and digital sneakers sold by Nike, Nike’s anticounterfeiting efforts with respect to third-party websites, and Nike’s investigation of the Alleged Counterfeits at issue in this case.
- Nike’s redactions for responsiveness of two documents (Bates numbers NIKE0040131 and NIKE0039801).
- StockX’s objections and responses to Plaintiff Nike, Inc.’s Second Set of Requests for Admission to Defendant StockX LLC.

The Parties thank the Court for its continued attention to this matter.

The Hon. Valerie Caproni

2

December 5, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Tamar Y. Duvdevani

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

Tamar Y. Duvdevani
Marc E. Miller
Andrew J. Peck
Jared Greenfield
1251 Avenue of The Americas, 27th Fl.
New York, NY 10020
Telephone: (212) 335-4500
Facsimile: (212) 335-4501

Michael Fluhr
555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 836-2500
Facsimile: (415) 836-2501

Melissa Reinckens
401 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101
melissa.reinckens@us.dlapiper.com

Jane W. Wise
500 Eighth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 799-4149
Facsimile: (202) 863-7849

Attorneys for Plaintiff Nike, Inc

/s/ Megan K. Bannigan

Megan K. Bannigan
David H. Bernstein
Jyotin Hamid
Justin C. Ferrone
Kathryn C. Saba
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York, 10022
Telephone: (212) 909-6000

Christopher S. Ford
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
650 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94108
Telephone: (415) 738-5700

David Mayberry
Rob Potter
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 775-8733

Jeffrey B. Morganroth
Morganroth & Morganroth, PLLC
344 N. Old Woodward Ave, #200
Birmingham, MI 48075
Telephone: (248) 864-4001

Attorneys for Defendant StockX LLC